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About the 2016 Financially Underserved Market Size Study

CFSI and Core Innovation Capital present this 6th annual market analysis to illustrate the size of the opportunity to address the needs of financially underserved consumers and identify significant trends driving marketplace evolution and growth.

The study presents a snapshot of:

• Interest and fees spent by underserved consumers to borrow, spend, save, and plan
• Volume of consumer usage generating revenue
• Current and projected revenue growth rates
• Key trends driving market developments

This report does not constitute a commentary on the appropriateness, safety, or quality of specific financial products for consumers. Market size and growth rates are not endorsements of market well-being for providers or financial health impact for consumers.

This is the property of CFSI, all rights reserved. In the citation of this work, please include the attribution: “The 2015 Market Size Study is presented by CFSI and Core Innovation Capital and made possible by funding from Morgan Stanley and the Ford Foundation.”
Thank You to our Funders

This report benefits from strategic input and financial support from Morgan Stanley. This report benefits from the support of the Founding Partner of the Ford Foundation. Opinions in this report are those of CFSI and Core Innovation Capital only and do not necessarily represent those of our sponsors.
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By the Numbers: Highlights of the $141 Billion Market*

Overall market revenue grew by $7.8 million or 5.9% from 2014 to 2015.**

The market is projected to expand 2.1% in 2016 to $144 billion.

Consumers spent $141 billion in fees and interest to use $1.61 trillion in financial services.

The study includes 28 financial products in 2015, including new ones: small business microloans and marketplace loans and auto insurance.

Fastest Growing Products
Marketplace Loans increased 210% and 64% for personal marketplace loans and small business marketplace loans, respectively.

Products in Sharpest Decline
Storefront and Online Payday Loans saw the sharpest declines, falling 23.4% and 22.5% respectively.

Largest Product Segments
Subprime Auto Loans constituted the largest product segment at $24.6 billion in 2015, followed by Overdraft fees at $24.0 billion.

Spotlight Product
Spending on Auto Insurance premiums by underserved consumers topped $36.5 billion – this consumer pays an average of 26.5% more than fully served consumers on comparable vehicles.

* All figures in this report are the result of original research and analysis by CFSI and Core Innovation Capital unless otherwise sourced. See appendix for list of sources.
** Updates reflect revised revenue estimates for previous report years. See New Additions and Changes to 2016 Market Sizing for full explanation.
Key Trends in Context

Consumers Seeking Small-Dollar Credit: What’s on the Menu?
Changes in provider product offerings mean consumer spending continues to shift away from the single payment model of payday loans, including those offered online, and toward short term credit offered through installment loans and Subprime Credit Cards.

Electronic Payments and the Cash Economy: Friends or Foes?
Spending on prepaid cards, checking accounts, and mobile payments innovations is growing, but products such as Check Cashing and Remittance aren’t going away anytime soon, driven by the major role that cash continues to play in the financial lives of underserved consumers.

Auto Loans in High Gear: New Routes for Growth?
Subprime Auto Loan originations and spending continues to increase, while usage of Buy Here Pay Here (BHPH) Auto Loans, which carry higher interest rates, is declining. However, an increasing share of remaining BHPH customers do not have subprime scores, suggesting a significant opportunity to address unmet demand for lower-rate auto loans to these lower-risk consumers.

Pricing Auto Insurance for the Underserved: Premiums or Penalties?
Underserved consumers pay higher rates for auto insurance than the mass market due to characteristics tied to lower economic status. They spend nearly as much on premiums to drive their vehicles as consumers spend on fees and interest for Subprime Auto Loans and Leases.

Tapping Alternative Credit Sources: Is it Personal or Just Business?
Limited availability of bank microloans for underserved small businesses means that many have unmet credit needs, or seek credit from alternative sources, such as the quickly-growing Marketplace Loan segment or Merchant Cash Advances. Others turn to sources of credit intended for personal use, including installment loans and Subprime Credit Cards, to meet their needs.
Defining the Market: Who are Underserved Consumers?

Underserved consumers struggle with one, two, or all three of these financial challenges.

Consumers that face barriers to using mainstream financial products effectively due to low-to-moderate incomes or income volatility, circumstances that impact approximately 91 million and 54 million U.S. adults, respectively.

Consumers struggling with LMI or volatile incomes

Credit-challenged consumers with thin-to-no credit file or a subprime score

Consumers that are credit-challenged have subprime credit scores below 600, or are unscorable due to a lack of sufficient credit file information. There are approximately 121 million U.S. adults who are credit-challenged.

Consumers that struggle with access to mainstream financial products that meet their needs. This group is estimated at 67 million adults by the FDIC.

Unbanked or underbanked consumers (FDIC designation)

Sources: Unbanked, Underbanked, and Income Volatility: “2015 FDIC National Survey of Unbanked and Underbanked Households,” FDIC (2016); Credit: CFSI calculated unscoreable consumers from FiveThirtyEight. “FICO Wants to Create Credit Scores for People who Don’t Have Them,” 2015, and added subprime consumers based on VantageScore. “How Many Americans Have Bad Credit,” 2016. LMI: For this analysis, CFSI calculated LMI households not included in the income volatility category based on those with incomes at or below 200% of the federal poverty level as defined by the 2015 US Department of health and Human Services, and 2015 household income data from the United States Census Bureau.
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Financial health challenges extend beyond the underserved market. CFSI research estimates that 57% of U.S. consumers, or approximately 138 million adults, are financially unhealthy.

While all financially unhealthy Americans could benefit from higher-quality financial products and services, this annual report focuses specifically on the financially underserved. These are the consumers with the most acute need for financial products and practices that meet their needs.

In order to improve consumer financial health, especially for the underserved, the market must address consumer need for day-to-day financial systems that function well alongside products that increase the likelihood of resilience and the ability to pursue opportunity. This requires a robust and innovative financial services market.
Defining the Underserved Market

Financial Product Criteria & Opportunity

This report examines 28 products that underserved consumers use to spend, save, borrow, and plan in their financial lives. Two products—small business microloans offered by banks and small business marketplace loans—have been added to the analysis in 2016. Additionally, a first look at underserved consumers’ spending on insurance focuses on auto insurance premiums.

Some products in this report, such as checking accounts or auto loans, are also used by consumers who are not traditionally defined as underserved. In these cases, measuring the underserved market size only focuses on usage volume and revenue generated by those who meet the criteria for financially underserved individuals. Accounting for market revenue in this way allows for a specific examination of spending on financial products and services by individuals who are more likely to be vulnerable to financial health challenges.

This methodology pinpoints the opportunity to improve the financial health of underserved consumers, which can be assessed by considering 8 key financial health indicators identified by CFSI.

CFSI’S Indicators of Financial Health:

- **Spend**
  1. Spend less than income
  2. Pay bills on time and in full

- **Save**
  3. Have sufficient liquid savings
  4. Have sufficient long-term savings or assets

- **Borrow**
  5. Have a sustainable debt load
  6. Have a prime credit score

- **Plan**
  7. Have appropriate insurance
  8. Plan ahead for expenses

CFSI’s Eight Ways to Measure Financial Health (2016)
Product Category Revenue & Growth
U.S. Spending By Underserved Consumers Tops $140.7 Billion

Breakdown by Product Category Revenue and Growth

In 2015, underserved consumers spent $140.7 billion on fees and interest across five financial product categories.

**Single Payment Credit**
Loan products for which payment is due in one lump sum, typically with terms of one month or less.

**Short Term Credit**
Loan products that function on an installment basis with terms from several months to 1-2 years or as a line of revolving credit.

**Long Term Credit**
Loan products that function on an installment basis with typical terms longer than 2 years.

**Payments & Deposit Accounts**
Products that enable consumers to transact, convert, send, receive, deposit, and hold funds.

**Other Products & Services**
Other personal financial management products and services.
In 2015, underserved consumers spent **$36.5 billion** on fees and interest for single payment loan products due in one lump sum, typically with terms of one month or less.

This represents a **4% decline** from the previous year. The downward trajectory is projected to continue with an **additional decrease of 2.9%** in 2016.
In 2015, underserved consumers spent $26.2 billion on fees and interest for short term loan products that function on an installment basis with terms from several months to 2 years or as a line of revolving credit.

This represents a 9% annual growth rate since the previous year. Growth is projected to continue with an additional, more moderate, increase of 2.3% in 2016.

* Note: More recent information about Secured Credit Card volume and revenue, published by the Federal Reserve in November 2016, is not reflected in this data.

For complete definitions of products, see Appendix.
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Long Term Credit Surges to $55.2 Billion

In 2015, underserved consumers spent $55.2 billion on fees and interest for long term loan products that function on an installment basis with typical terms of 2 years or more.

For complete definitions of products, see Appendix.
In 2015, underserved consumers spent $15.7 billion on fees to transact, convert, send, receive, deposit, and hold funds.

Payments & Deposit Accounts Grow to $15.7 Billion

This represents a 6.8% annual growth rate since the previous year. Growth is projected to continue with a smaller additional increase of 2.6% in 2016.

For complete definitions of products, see Appendix.
In 2015, underserved consumers spent $7.1 billion on fees and penalties for financial services to prepare taxes and borrow or withdraw funds early from retirement accounts.

This represents a decrease of 2.1% compared to the previous year. The downward trajectory is projected to reverse, with 35.3% growth estimated in 2016 due in large part to significant increases in tax preparation fees.
Product Segment Growth and Growth Projections
Product Growth and Growth Projections

Single Payment Credit

Online and Storefront Payday Loans both declined in 2015 and are projected to decline again in 2016, while other forms remain stagnant.

Short Term Credit

Small Business Marketplace Loans are growing quickly, although their total size is still relatively small, while Subprime Credit Cards, a larger segment, continues to grow steadily. Installment Loans are projected to temporarily decline in 2016 after continual growth through 2015.
Product Growth Projections

Long Term Credit

Subprime Auto Loans continue to drive the largest growth in long-term credit, while BHPH Auto Loans are projected to declining substantially in 2016 after remaining flat in 2015. Personal Marketplace Loans grew substantially in 2015 but are projected to show more moderate growth in 2016 and remain a smaller part of the market.

Payments & Deposit Accounts

Checking Accounts increased substantially in 2015 due to increases in monthly fees, but are projected to level off in 2016. GPR and Payroll Cards continue to drive growth in this category.
Product Growth Projections

Other Products & Services

Large increases in fees charged for storefront Tax Preparation to underserved consumers are projected to drive significant growth in spending in 2016.
Electronic Payments and the Cash Economy: Friends or Foes?
Revenue continued to grow across nearly every payment and deposit account product from 2014 to 2015. The highest growth was seen for multi-use, account-based products including Checking Accounts, GPR Prepaid, and Payroll Cards. Yet most single-function, point-of-sale-based payments products grew as well, albeit at a slower pace, with the exception of Money Orders.

In effect, many underserved consumers still rely on these older payments product models, even as they simultaneously adopt modern transaction products and utilize traditional bank accounts.
For Underserved Consumers Households Cash is Still Key

One reason that single-function payments products remain in regular usage among underserved consumers is their role in facilitating access to cash and cash conversion for sending funds or paying bills.

Two thirds of consumers reported using cash at least weekly in 2015,* and underserved consumers are heavier cash users than other consumers who prefer to use cash. This means products such as Check Cashing, Money Orders, and Remittance remain key tools for cash-based consumers to move money into and out of the payments system for high-value or bill-pay transactions.

Yet due to the time and expense required to use these products, which require a point-of-sale visit each time, they are not typically used where cash will suffice, as evidenced by their relatively small number of annual transactions.

The higher frequency of annual transactions by Prepaid and Checking Account users signals the opportunity to incorporate more customers into account-based card or mobile payments solutions that smooth the way to increased usage frequency.

Fintech Solutions to Payments Challenges

Fintech innovations that facilitate the conversion of cash into electronic or ACH-compatible forms of payment with greater speed and less friction continue to grow in conjunction with increasing access to mobile technology for underserved consumers. The proliferation of these payments solutions are congruent with observed reductions in spending on Money Orders (-3.2%) and slow growth in spending on Remittances (0.5%) and Walk-in Bill Pay (2%) in 2015.

Use of mobile payments by underbanked consumers doubled

15% in 2011

30% in 2015

In 2015 there were approximately 69 million peer-to-peer payments, and the number is expected to grow to 82 million in 2016.

23.2 million

There were 23.2 million mobile wallet users in 2015, and the number is expected to grow to 1 in 5 smartphone users in 2016.

The variety of solutions for the reverse process of extracting cash from the banking system with greater speed for full accessibility of funds is currently less robust. There is great opportunity for innovation to meet this need, still currently satisfied primarily by check cashing services (for which spending increased 4.1% in 2015) and fee-per-service ATM models, or left unmet due to delays in full funds availability following deposits into checking accounts.

Consumers Seeking Small-Dollar Credit: What’s on the Menu?
Consumer Spending on Single Payment and Short-Term Credit Is Converging

Revenue from single payment forms of credit, including Payday Loans, Pawn, Overdraft, and Refund Anticipation Checks, has stagnated and begun to decline in the past few years, due in large part reductions in usage rather than lower rates.

At the same time, short term credit offered as Installment Loans, Title Loans, Rent to Own agreements, or Small Business loans have grown more popular, as have the use of Subprime and Secured Credit Cards.

In many cases, this shift simply constitutes the promotion of different small-dollar credit structures by companies offering a range of secured and unsecured credit. One force driving this shift is anticipated changes in federal regulation from the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which released a proposed rule in 2016 impacting loan practices for “Payday, Vehicle Title, and Certain High-Cost Installment Loans.”

The shift is also supported by the increasing availability of Subprime Credit Card capital to those with lower credit scores.
Payday Lending Declines; Short Term Credit Fills the Need

While Storefront Payday Loans had shown signs of stagnant or lower revenue for several years, the decline of Online Payday loan revenue in parallel with the less technology-reliant business model has developed since 2014.

In its place, spending on Installment Loans and Subprime Credit Cards has increased, surpassing all Payday Loan spending in 2015 and projected to continue this pattern in 2016, while Title Loan spending has also grown during this time period.
Aggregate Spending on Sub-Prime Credit Remains Relatively Even Year-on-Year

While shifts in the types of loans drawing fee and interest revenue from underserved consumers continues, the overall amount spent by consumers on these products continued to grow following the financial crisis, then leveled off beginning in 2014 and projected through 2016.

This suggests that consumer demand for small-dollar credit, while moving more heavily to short term usage models, has not diminished overall.
Tapping Alternative Credit Sources: Is it Personal or Just Business?
New in 2016: Ceiling for Microloan Approvals Means an Opportunity for Other Credit Models

Underserved small businesses spent $1.7 billion in 2015 on microloans, defined as bank or credit union loans of $100,000 or less, with an average size of approximately $15,000. The opportunity to address the need for small business credit is far greater.

Many small businesses experience difficulty getting approved for a loan, or are given less credit than they applied for. Alternatives to bank loans demonstrate the scale of demand. Marketplace Loans for small businesses have quickly grown – from $0.1 billion in 2010 to $1.7 billion in 2015 – and are projected to grow 19% to $2.1 billion in 2016, overtaking the amount spent by small businesses on bank microloans. Some are structured in installments much like traditional bank loans, while others more closely resemble Merchant Cash Advance structures, which are repaid according to sales volume. The Merchant Cash Advance industry itself took in approximately three to five billion in revenue in 2015.

42% of bank loan applicants denied credit or given less credit than sought.

2014 Independent Business Survey, Institute of Local Self-Reliance

$3 to $5 billion

Estimate of small business capital extended by Merchant Cash Advance companies in 2015
Consumer Credit Used to Fill the Small Business Credit Gap

When small business loans are not available, consumers often turn to personal forms of credit to satisfy these needs, pointing to a wider opportunity to directly address small business credit demand. Alternative forms of credit that often fund small businesses include Personal Marketplace Loans, Installment Loans, and Subprime Credit Cards.

49% of small business owners use personal credit cards for business purposes.

63% of microbusinesses received less financing than amount sought.

Small Business, Credit Access, and a Lingering Recession Small Business, Credit Access, and a Lingering Recession, NFIB, 2012

2015 Small Business Credit Survey, Federal Reserve Banks, 2016
Auto Loans in High Gear: New Routes to Growth?
Buy Here Pay Here Auto Loan Usage Declines While Subprime Surges

While underserved spending on auto loans has begun to level off following a burst of pent-up demand post recession, the Subprime Auto Loan portion of the market continues to increase, growing 22.5% in 2015 and projected to grow an additional 9.8% in 2016.

The growth of Subprime Auto Loans was offset by decreased spending on Buy Here Pay Here (BHPH) Auto Loans which typically carry higher interest rates.

Revenue from these loans remained flat, growing only 0.4% in 2015 and projected to decline 17.1% in 2016.
As recently as 2009, Buy Here Pay Here Auto Loans took in twice as much revenue as Subprime Auto Loans, but that ratio is now almost completely reversed.

After growing in parallel for much of the period from 2009 to 2013, a significant change in the dynamic, which shows Subprime Auto Loan revenue increasing rapidly (by 226% in just two years from 2013 to 2015) and BHPH Auto Loan revenue falling fast (126% in the same period), began in 2013 and is projected to continue into 2016.
Prime Rate Customers are Overlooked by Buy Here Pay Here Lenders

Yet while Buy Here Pay Here declines in usage, and more people are approved for Subprime Auto Loans instead, the proportion of borrowers with higher credit scores still using BHPH Auto Loans has actually increased over the years, from 20% in 2009 to 30% in 2015.

Among BHPH borrowers with a VantageScore above 600 in 2015, half had scores above 660 and one in ten had scores above 780.

This indicates that lower credit scores or lack of a credit file are not the only factors influencing choice of auto loan product. Other types of barriers, such as information disparities or geographic access constraints, may also impact choice, indicating opportunity for other auto loan providers to better understand the needs of these borrowers.
New in 2016: Pricing Auto Insurance for the Underserved: Premiums or Penalties?
New in 2016: Auto Insurance Spending by US Underserved Consumers Sees Solid Growth

There is substantial opportunity to address the needs of underserved consumers who pay higher rates for auto insurance premiums.

These consumers spend nearly as much on overall auto insurance annually as is spent in fees and interest on subprime auto loans, subprime auto leases, and Buy Here Pay Here auto loans combined.
New in 2016: Low-to-Moderate Income Americans Spend 25% More on Auto Liability Insurance

Beyond the $141 billion market, a first look at insurance sizes financially underserved consumer spending on auto liability insurance.

Underserved consumers spent $36.5 billion on premiums in 2015, paying an average of 26.5% more than fully served consumers to insure vehicles of comparable value.

Consumers with lower economic status spent more on minimum auto liability insurance - required in all states except New Hampshire - than those without indications of low economic status.

Nationwide, this amounts to a penalty of 26.5% on underserved drivers based solely on their answers to five economic status-related questions, rather than driving history:

- Are you married?
- What is your occupation?
- What is your highest level of education?
- Do you currently have auto insurance?
- Do you own or rent your home?

Average annual cost of auto liability insurance for low-to-moderate-income (LMI) consumers vs. average US consumers

Source: Consumer Federation of America study, NAIC auto liability insurance pricing, and NerdWallet Insurance market share. Note: Insurance premium costs differ state by state based on regulations and underwriting standards.
Case Study: California Regulation Prevents Pricing Tied to Income; Profits Remain Strong

In 1988, Proposition 103 resulted in a highly-regulated auto insurance market in California.

Only California has a comprehensive system to ensure that rates are set fairly. Three auto rating factors are mandatory and must have the greatest impact on automobile insurance rates:

1. Driving record,
2. miles driven, and
3. years of driving experience.

Insurers can also propose other factors for approval. Credit scoring has not been approved for use as a factor for approval in California.

Long-Range Results of California Proposition 103 since passage.

- Drivers saved more than $100 billion from 1989 to 2011
- California insurer profits remained above the national average from 1989 to 2011 (11.9% compared to 8.5%)
- California rate of returns remained above the national average from 1989 to 2011 (8.8% compared to 6.8%)

California’s experience demonstrates the opportunity for innovation around auto liability insurance underwriting and pricing practices to create risk assessments that can support the needs of underserved auto insurance buyers and contribute to consumer financial health.

Appendix Charts and Data
Appendix: Product Data

Market Size Analysis Methodology and Data

Market sizing analysis is an exercise of best estimation for a quantity that is frequently unknowable or has a range of recognized figures. This analysis relies on sources that are credible, consistent with other estimates, and continuous, providing historic figures for reference. In many cases, figures are extrapolated from a selection of data sources to arrive at a final estimate for a given product segment. Sources and key figures are summarized in the Appendix below, and we transparently disclose our level of confidence—high, medium, or low—in the accuracy of each product segment, based on the Confidence Level Criteria at right. We encourage readers with access to further information to share sources or figures that can continuously improve our analysis.

### Confidence Level

**HIGH**
- Based on surveys or company-specific information
- Cited by industry leaders
- Source methodology disclosed

**MEDIUM**
- Estimate derived from credible market data
- Source discloses methodology, but with significant assumptions

**LOW**
- CFSI/Core estimate requiring significant assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL MARKET REVENUE</th>
<th>TOTAL MARKET VOLUME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Growth 2014-2015</td>
<td>2015 Revenue ($B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>140.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All revenue generated by consumer spending is sized in this report for products that constitute alternative financial services typically used by underserved consumers. For the nine product segments in this report that are used more widely across the consumer market, only the portion of revenue generated by underserved consumers or by subprime rate product offerings are sized. Savings and Checking accounts, Overdraft, Small Business Microloans, and Tax Preparation services, as well as prime rate Auto Lease, Auto Loan, Credit Card, and Auto Insurance products all generate revenue in this wider market, so spending on these products by consumers who have prime rates or are fully served is not included in this report.

Consumer usage volume refers to dollars borrowed, transacted, saved, or managed through the use of a financial service, exclusive of fees and interest paid to access these products and services. Measurements of volume vary depending on product category. Single Payment Credit products, Title Loans, and Rent to Own are sized according to annual dollars borrowed to reflect the usage of products often extended multiple times during one year; the other Short Term Credit products and all Long Term Credit products are sized by average outstanding volume to reflect loans paid in installments or on a revolving basis and balances carried over a longer period; Payments products are sized by annual load or transaction volume; Deposit Account products are sized by average annual balances held in accounts; and the volumes of other financial services, which often generate revenue and fees independent of volume, are sized according to the volume of funds addressed by the service. Auto Insurance is sized only in terms of revenue since the dollar value of insurance in terms of volume accessed is not well defined due to variability in claims and adjustments.
## Appendix: Single Payment Credit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT SEGMENT</th>
<th>PRODUCT DEFINITION</th>
<th>REVENUE GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>VOLUME GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>CONFIDENCE LEVEL</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overdraft*</td>
<td>Extension for a checking account holder that enables spending beyond the available balance for a fee to be repaid from the same account.</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on portion of overdraft revenues (Moews 2011-2016), and usage &quot;Data Point: Checking account overdraft,&quot; CFPB (2014).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawn**</td>
<td>A short-term loan with amount set and secured based on the value of items provided by the borrower as collateral.</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>-4.3%</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on publicly traded industry leaders’ annual and quarterly report data (2009-2016), market share data, and figures reported by the National Pawn Brokers Association.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAC (Refund Anticipation Check)</td>
<td>Fee-based service that allows tax preparation fees to be paid from expected tax refund rather than at the time of preparation.</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>National Consumer Law Center estimate based on tax return data (2014); industry typical fees (2015).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ALL SINGLE PAYMENT CREDIT PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>REVENUE GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>VOLUME GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>CONFIDENCE LEVEL</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>-6.4%</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This report only sizes overdraft activity and spending by account holders who exhibit high frequency of usage—more than 10 times in one year—indicating that their need for short-term credit is chronically underserved.

**The volume and revenue sized for payday and pawn loans are counted per each discrete loan. Ratios of volume to revenue dollars do not reflect aggregate costs paid by borrowers who enter a cycle of debt, commonly referred to as online or, stemming from one initial single payment loan.
## Appendix: Short Term Credit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT SEGMENT</th>
<th>PRODUCT DEFINITION</th>
<th>REVENUE GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>VOLUME GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>CONFIDENCE LEVEL</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit Card, Secured</td>
<td>Credit card that is backed by money deposited into the account and used as collateral for the credit available.</td>
<td>-2.9%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on Philadelphia Federal Reserve Board report, &quot;Millennials with Money: A New Look at Who Uses GPR Repaid Cards&quot; (2014), combined with census data and product structure and fees from 10 providers of secured cards (2009 - 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Card, Subprime</td>
<td>Revolving line of credit provided to consumers with Vantage Scores below 660.</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on data from Equifax (2014), American Bankers Association, CardHub reports, and typical product structure and fees of major subprime credit cards (2009 - 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installment Loan</td>
<td>A short-term loan repaid over time through a set number of scheduled payments.</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on publicly traded industry leaders’ annual and quarterly report data (2009 - 2016) and data from “Alternative Financial Services: Innovating to Meet Customer Needs in an Evolving Regulatory Framework,” John Hecht, Stephens, Inc. 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketplace Loan, Small Business</td>
<td>Loans offered by online, nonbank institutions that match sources of capital with applicants for installment loans to fund small business needs, typically using a combination of traditional and alternative data, sometimes including sales volume, to determine risk, interest rates and loan amounts.</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on &quot;Survey of Online Consumer and Small Business Financing Companies – 01/01/2016 through 06/30/2015,&quot; California Department of Business Oversight (2016); Michael J. Bologna, &quot;States Mull Regulation of Online Commercial Lenders,&quot; Bloomberg News, June 13, 2016; and Bryant Park Capital/deBanked Small Business Financing CEO Survey data (2016) on Merchant Cash Advances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent to Own</td>
<td>Service that allows for the purchase of furniture, appliances, and other big-ticket household items through payments due in regular installments over a period of time.</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on market share and gross margin data provided in quarterly and annual public reports from industry leaders Rent-A-Center and Aaron’s, (2009 - 2016); market share information sourced from RTOHQ.com.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Microloan*</td>
<td>Loan made by an FDIC insured financial institution such as a commercial bank, community bank, or credit union, for an amount under $100,000 to be repaid on an installment basis, typically at interest rates below 10% APR and repaid within one to two years.</td>
<td>-7.7%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) data on CRA loan activity to microbusinesses and census tracts by median income quintiles (2009-2015); “Community Banks, Small Business Credit, and Online Lending,” Remarks by Governor Lael Brainard, The Third Annual Community Banking Research and Policy Conference, Sept 2015; Small Business Administration quarterly loan interest rate data (2009 - 2016); “Small Business Lending in the United States,” U.S. SBA Office of Advocacy (2013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title Loan**</td>
<td>An loan secured with a vehicle in which the auto title is provided to the lender as collateral. While the majority of loan industry volume is based on auto titles, there are still some that are structured as single payment loans.</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on state-specific title loan incidence, volume, and revenue data reported by regulatory agencies in CA, IL, MN, TN, TX, and VA (2009-2016 as available); footprint of auto title lending locations and proportion of states offering installment and single payment models for all states where the practice is legal sourced from &quot;Driven to Disaster: Car-Title Lending and Its Impact on Consumers;” Center for Responsible Lending (2013); additional data on proportional use of installment and single payment auto title from &quot;Payday and Auto Title Lending in Texas, Market Overview and Trends 2012 - 2016;” Texas Appleseed (2016); Rollovers counted as discrete volume.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This report sizes only microloans made to businesses based in communities with low and moderate incomes, identified as census tracts where median family income is below 80% of the median family income for the tract’s Metropolitan Statistical Area or the non-metropolitan portion of the state.

** Source of data is public reports from industry leaders Rent-A-Center and Aaron’s, (2009 - 2016); market share information sourced from RTOHQ.com.

---
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# Appendix: Long Term Credit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auto Lease, Subprime</td>
<td>Car lease provided to consumers with Vantage Scores less than 600.</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core calculation based on risk segment and annual lease amount reported in &quot;State of the Automotive Finance Market,&quot; Experian quarterly reports (2009-2016); Nada and Edmunds data on interest rates, new vehicle sales, percent leased (2009-2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Loan, Subprime</td>
<td>Car loans provided to consumers with FICO credit scores less than 600, exclusive of Buy Here Pay Here auto loans.</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>193.6</td>
<td>222.6</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core calculation based on risk segment, interest rate and loan volume data reported in &quot;State of the Automotive Finance Market,&quot; Experian quarterly reports (2009-2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buy Here Pay Here Auto Loan</td>
<td>Car loan with high interest rates and payments typically due at the place of sale, often utilized by consumers who lack viable credit scores.</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core calculation based on risk segment, interest rate and loan volume data reported in &quot;State of the Automotive Finance Market,&quot; Experian quarterly reports (2009-2016), and Leedom &amp; Associates LLC (2013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketplace Loan, Personal</td>
<td>Loans offered by online, nonbank institutions that match sources of capital with applicants for personal installment loans, typically using a combination of traditional and alternative data to determine risk and interest rates.</td>
<td>209.8%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>223.4%</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate from Foundation Capital Report on Marketplace lending (2013) and Morgan Stanley data on Lending Club (2015), industry data aggregated by Lend Academy, and company-specific loan origination volume, outstanding volume, and interest rate information disclosed by Lending Club, Prosper, and SoFi (2009 - 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Loan, Private</td>
<td>Private loans provided to individuals for the pursuit of higher education and related costs.</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on CFPB white paper on Private Student Loans (2012), data from the New York Federal Reserve Board (2014), and Private Student Loan data from Measure One (2013 - 2016).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix: Payments & Deposit Accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT SEGMENT</th>
<th>PRODUCT DEFINITION</th>
<th>REVENUE GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2016</th>
<th>VOLUME GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2016</th>
<th>CONFIDENCE LEVEL</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check Cashi ng</td>
<td>A service to quickly convert checks to cash or electronically available funds.</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on average user usage and fees charged by small providers and franchised or co-located providers sourced from company data and 2013 FDIC National Survey of Underbanked and Underbanked Households, &quot;FDIC (2014) and &quot;2015 FDIC National Survey of Underbanked and Underbanked Households,&quot; FDIC (2016); and revenue estimates in &quot;Check Cashi ng &amp; Money Transfer Services: A Market Analysis,&quot; MarketData Enterprises, Inc. (2013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit Account, Checking*</td>
<td>Basic Bank or Credit Union Checking Account, exclusive of Overdraft.</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>212.7</td>
<td>215.9</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on CFPB (2012); Bankrate account fee reports (2009 - 2015); &quot;2015 FDIC National Survey of Underbanked and Underbanked Households,&quot; FDIC (2016); and &quot;February 2012 Spending &amp; Saving Tracker,&quot; prepared by Echo for American Express (2012), and consumer usage sourced from &quot;Data Point: Checking account overdraft,&quot; CFPB (2014); CFPB checking account usage data; and, Branton, Michael and Spaid, Tyler &quot;The Profitability of the Average Checking Account,&quot; BankDirector.com, April 22, 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit Account, Savings*</td>
<td>Basic Bank Saving Account or equivalent Credit Union Share Account</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on &quot;2015 FDIC National Survey of Underbanked and Underbanked Households,&quot; FDIC (2016); typical fees from sample of banks, and account balance survey data from Consumer Federation of America data (2010) as reported in New America Foundation study &quot;Beyond Barriers&quot; (2011); and Low Income Designation Credit Union industry data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Order</td>
<td>Service that converts cash to a paper check equivalent with stated amount of funds guaranteed by the issuing institution.</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate from USPS/Federal Reserve data (2009-2015); Volume estimate from FDIC National Survey of Underbanked Households (2013); Fee estimate based on USPS reported fees for average money orders and typical private market fees, proportional to customer usage of each channel. Consumer usage data sourced from &quot;2015 FDIC National Survey of Unbanked and Underbanked Households,&quot; FDIC (2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid Card, GPR (General Purpose Reloadable)</td>
<td>An open-loop card that serves as an account for consumers to load, store, and spend funds electronically.</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>112.1</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core calculation using &quot;12th Annual US Market Prepaid Cards Market Forecast 2015 - 2018,&quot; Mercator Advisory Group (2015) and fee estimate based on NetSpend and Green Dot operating revenue and gross dollar volume reported in quarterly and annual public reports (2009 - 2018); Customer usage data sourced from &quot;General Purpose Reloadable Prepaid Cards: Penetration, Use, Fees, and Fraud Risks,&quot; Kansas City Federal Reserve Bank (2014).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid Card, Government Benefit**</td>
<td>Prepaid card used to access federal government benefits including TANF, SNAP, Unemployment, Social Security, Disability, etc. for all recipients who do not receive benefits by direct deposit.**</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>140.3</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on Federal Reserve Board &quot;Report to the Congress on Government Administered, General-Use Prepaid Cards,&quot; (2011 -2016) and &quot;12th Annual US Market Prepaid Cards Market Forecast 2015-2018,&quot; Mercator Advisory Group (2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid Card, Payroll</td>
<td>An open-loop card that serves as a account for employers to deposit employee salaries, wages, or other compensation on a regular basis for employees to store and spend electronically.</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CFSI/Core calculation sourced from &quot;12th Annual US Market Prepaid Cards Market Forecast 2015 - 2018,&quot; Mercator Advisory Group (2015); fee estimate based on NetSpend and Green Dot operating revenue and gross dollar volume in quarterly and annual public reports (2009 - 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remittance</td>
<td>Service that transfers funds from sender in U.S. to recipient abroad.</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>World Bank estimate of remittance inflows, outflows and fee percent (2009 - 2015); usage by type of provider sourced from &quot;2015 FDIC National Survey of Underbanked and Underbanked Households,&quot; FDIC (2016); and &quot;February 2012 Spending &amp; Saving Tracker,&quot; prepared by Echo for American Express (2012), and consumer usage sourced from &quot;Data Point: Checking account overdraft,&quot; CFPB (2014); CFPB checking account usage data; and, Branton, Michael and Spaid, Tyler &quot;The Profitability of the Average Checking Account,&quot; BankDirector.com, April 22, 2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix: Other Products & Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT SEGMENT</th>
<th>PRODUCT DEFINITION</th>
<th>REVENUE GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>VOLUME GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>CONFIDENCE LEVEL</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Plan Leaksage*</td>
<td>Fees charged to release funds previously dedicated to a retirement plan as a loan to the account holder, and tax penalties and marginal rates paid for funds withdrawn from retirement accounts early for hardships or when account is not rolled over after a job change.</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>226.6</td>
<td>232.3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on data from TIAACREF Survey on Americans taking loans from their retirement plan savings (2014); Department of Labor Statistics; Pension Research Council white paper, TransAmerica Center for Retirement Studies &quot;16th Annual Transamerica Retirement Survey,&quot; (2015); Emily Brandon, &quot;Fewer People Pay IRA Early Withdrawal Penalty,&quot; U.S. News and World Report, August 28, 2015; &quot;Defined Contribution Plan Participants’ Activities, 2015,&quot; Investment Company Institute (2015); &quot;Leakage of Participants’ DC Assets: How Loans, Withdrawals, and Cashouts Are Eroding Retirement Income,&quot; Aon Hewitt (2011); Betterment industry data (2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Preparation**</td>
<td>Service that prepares and files personal income taxes for a fee, exclusive of Refund Anticipation Check fees (RAC).</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on IRS data on EITC eligibility and claims filed (2009 - 2015), combined with New Haven EITC leakage study (2008). Arlington EITC study (2010) and industry estimates of volume and typical fees (2010 - 2016). Estimates exclusive of RAC volume and revenue (2009 - 2016), however new forms of RACs (Refund Anticipation Checks) incorporate costs into standard pricing rather than a separate fee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL OTHER PRODUCTS AND SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>285.4</td>
<td>291.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix: Insurance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT SEGMENT</th>
<th>PRODUCT DEFINITION</th>
<th>REVENUE GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>VOLUME GROWTH 2014-2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2015</th>
<th>REVENUE ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2015</th>
<th>VOLUME ($B) 2016e</th>
<th>CONFIDENCE LEVEL</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auto Insurance*</td>
<td>The premium paid for insuring cars, trucks, and other vehicles</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>Not applicable**</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CFSI/Core estimate based on 2015 Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Expenditure Study; LMI Liability Spending based on 2009-2015 NAIC and 2013 Consumer Federation of America data.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This report sizes only auto insurance expenditures for consumers with annual income less than $50,000, who represent drivers more likely to pay annual premiums that are on average 26.5% higher than for customers earning above $50,000 annually when other factors are held constant.

** Auto Insurance is sized only in terms of revenue since the dollar value of insurance in terms of volume accessed is not well defined due to variability in claims and adjustments.
Appendix: Product Growth

Revenue Growth 2014 to 2015

- Personal Mktpl. Loan: 210%
- Subprime Auto Lease: 25.0%
- Subprime Auto Loan: 22.5%
- BHPH Auto Loan: 0.4%
- Private Student Loan: 0.1%
- Small Biz Mktpl. Loan: 13.7%
- Subprime Credit Card: 9.4%
- Installment Loan: 4.6%
- Title Loan: 0.5%
- Rent to Own: -2.9%
- Secured Credit Card: -7.7%
- Small Biz Microloan: 2.9%
- RAC: 2.2%
- Overdraft: -1.1%
- Pawn: -22.5%
- Online Payday: -23.4%
- Installment: 12.7%
- RAC: 12.1%
- Checking Account: 8.0%
- GPR Prepaid Card: 4.4%
- Payroll Card: 4.1%
- Govt. Benefits Prepaid: 2.0%
- Check Cashing: 1.4%
- Walk-in Bill Pay: 0.5%
- Savings Account: -3.2%
- Remittance: 1.2%
- Money Order: -3.3%
- Rent. Plan Leakage: -22.5%
- Tax Preparation: -23.4%

Legend:
- Orange: Long Term Credit
- Blue: Short Term Credit
- Purple: Single Payment Credit
- Green: Payments & Deposit Accounts
- Green: Other Products & Services
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Appendix: Product Growth Projections

Projected Revenue Growth 2015 to 2016 (estimate)
New Additions and Changes to 2016 Market Sizing

Each annual Market Size Study reflects the evolving array of products and product usage behaviors that impact the financially underserved marketplace. To ensure that changes in methodology and product inclusion or exclusion do not influence the measurement of growth rates, these changes are retroactively applied to the sizing of products for the complete data set from 2009 to present.

All year-over-year growth rates reflect revised figures for past years to ensure that annual changes are directly comparable. For reference, the chart below displays the market size identified at the time of publication for previous reports in this annual series and the size of the market for the same year sized according to current methodology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Published Market Revenue (B)</td>
<td>$45.2</td>
<td>$77.6</td>
<td>$88.7</td>
<td>$102.6</td>
<td>$137.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated Market Revenue (B) (per current product inclusions, exclusions &amp; methodologies)</td>
<td>$104.5</td>
<td>$111.1</td>
<td>$116.1</td>
<td>$122.7</td>
<td>$133.0</td>
<td>$140.7</td>
<td>$143.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Previously published reports reflected a smaller collection of products, differences in the scope of consumers defined as underserved, and previous information available to inform methodologies and calculations. For more information on past methodologies, please consult the previous Underserved Market Sizing Study reports in this series.
New Additions and Changes to 2016 Market Sizing

New Product Additions

**Small Business Marketplace Loan**: Added for the first time to the 2016 study, in recognition of the gap between small business credit needs and credit availability that often plays a role in the financial lives of underserved consumers who are small business owners, and the growing use of Marketplace Loans to serve unmet credit needs through alternative risk and pricing models.

**Small Business Microloan**: Added for the first time to the 2016 study, in recognition of the gap between small business credit needs and credit availability that often plays a role in the financial lives of underserved consumers who are small business owners, and the significant volume of bank and credit union loans for very small amounts—by definition under $100,000, but typically averaging approximately $15,000—loaned to small businesses operating in low-to-moderate income communities which typically face higher barriers to credit access.

**Auto Insurance**: Added for the first time in the 2016 study as an initial exploration into the magnitude of spending on insurance products in the financial lives of underserved consumers, in particular when spending is in close conjunction with spending on financial products already sized in this report, in this case as demonstrated by spending on subprime auto loans and leases that by definition necessitate the purchase of auto insurance (required in 49 states and Washington, D.C.) to operate the vehicle.

**Considering Insurance as a Product Category**: Auto Insurance is included in the 2016 Market Size report for the first time and sized retroactively to 2009. Note that it is not included in the overall market size totals because insurance presents an entirely new area of inquiry that stands apart, as an industry, from other financial services included in this report both in terms of payment structures and usage. Furthermore, Auto Insurance is sized only in terms of revenue, as defined by premiums paid by underserved consumers, since the dollar value of insurance in terms of volume accessed is not well defined due to variability in claims, adjustments as well as lack of a standard definition of what constitutes usage volume for a product which by definition is used actively by only a small portion of those insured in any given year.

Changes in Category Methodologies

Sizing has been updated to reflect a shift of Checking Account and Savings Account products from a previous “Deposits and Other” category classification to a combined category with Payments products, retroactively and for present and future estimates, into one category now titled “Payments & Deposit Accounts,” in order to better track the related uses of deposit accounts, prepaid accounts, and single-function payments products by underserved consumers. The “Deposits and Other” category has been renamed “Other Products and Services” to reflect the products remaining in this classification.
New Additions and Changes to 2016 Market Sizing

Changes in Product Methodologies

**Rent to Own:** Sizing has been updated, retroactively and for present and future year estimates, to reflect a change in methodology that defines Rent to Own volume of usage as only the portion of rental installment fees which can be attributed to the market value of the items rented.

**Title Loan:** Sizing has been updated, retroactively and for present and future year estimates, to reflect a change in methodology that employs volume and revenue figures for select states that provide detailed annual figures through regulatory agencies to impute national industry activity, including a proportional estimate of the share of Title Loan volume and revenue attributed to a single payment loan model and to an installment loan model per the pricing and model characteristics demonstrated by reported figures for states that allow one or both of these title loan product structures.

**Checking Account:** Sizing has been updated, retroactively and for present and future year estimates, to reflect a change in methodology that scales statistics tracking underserved households with checking accounts to an estimate of unique account ownership in these households by accounting for the proportion of households containing two or more adults who own a joint account rather than maintaining separate accounts.

**Savings Account:** Sizing has been updated, retroactively and for present and future year estimates, to reflect a change in methodology that scales statistics tracking underserved households with savings accounts to an estimate of unique account ownership in these households by accounting for the proportion of households containing two or more adults who own a joint account rather than maintaining separate accounts.

**Remittance:** Sizing has been updated, retroactively and for present and future estimates, to return to the practice (used in all but last year’s report) of sizing all Remittance spending, regardless of whether these payments are sent through bank or non-bank providers, in light of significant fees charged for this service across provider types which indicates wide opportunity for financial technology innovations to address unmet need and inefficiencies in the remittance industry.

**Retirement Plan Leakage:** Sizing has been updated, retroactively and for present and future estimates, to incorporate not only fees paid to borrow from a retirement account (previously sized), but also tax penalties and additional income tax paid at the marginal rate for early withdrawals or “cash-outs” of funds.

**A Word About Refund Anticipation Loans (RALs):** This product ceased to be sized in this report after 2011 due to the vanishingly small level of RAL activity following changes in IRS information accessibility for preparers that made predicting refunds too risky. Beginning in 2015, RALs have made a comeback at many tax preparation providers, but under the new structure used by these providers. RALs are offered as a courtesy and marketing tool, with all costs to the provider incorporated into overall tax preparation charges rather than offered at a separate price. As such, any return to consumer spending on RALs can be considered to be accounted for in the size of spending on Tax Preparation.
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